ORIGINAL OPEN MEETING AGEND,



1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

Phoenix, Arizona 85016 13

1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204 The Law Offices of Garry D. Hays PC

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

COMMISSIONERS SUSAN BITTER-SMITH-Chairman **BOB STUMP**

BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE

RECEIVED

2015 APR 10 P 12: 03

AZ CORP COMME DOCKET CONTR

BEFORE THE

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

BRIEF

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) OF TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE. INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A NEW NET-METERING TARIFF, A PARTIAL WAIVER) OF THE COMMISSION'S NET METERING RULES AND A REVISED AVOIDED COST RATE IN THE COMPANY'S EXISTING **NET METERING TARIFF**

DOCKET NO. E-01461A-15-0057

DOCKETED

Arizona Corporation Commission

APR 1 0 2015

DOCKETED BY

The Arizona Solar Deployment Alliance ("ASDA"), in accordance with the April 3, 2015 Procedural Order, hereby responds to whether Trico Electric Company's ("TRICO") request in the above captioned docket should be heard in a rate case.

BACKGROUND

Trico, in its February 26, 2015 application, asked the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") to 1) approve a net metering tariff for future net metered members; 2) grant a partial waiver of the Commission's net metering rules; and 3) approve a revised avoided cost rate on the existing net metering tariff. Additionally, Trico requested that the new tariff go into

Application at 1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

effect on February 28, 2015.² ASDA would respectfully request the Commission order Trico to incorporate items 1 and 2 into a rate case and approve item 3 in accordance with Staff's March 31, 2015 Memorandum and Proposed Order.

NEW NET METERING TARIFF AND WAIVER OF THE RULES

As stated above, Trico's application asks for a new net metering tariff that would credit new DG customers for excess energy at Trico's avoided cost rate rather than the current retail rate.³ This new tariff requires a waiver of the net metering rules because the current rules do not contemplate crediting customers at an avoided cost rate. 4 In its application, Trico tries to show how its situation is similar to APS' recent net metering decision but misses some key distinctions. As alluded to in Trico's application, APS had a separate mechanism, which was granted in a rate case, that the Commission adjusted in order to address APS' concern.⁵ Trico does not have any such mechanism and says so explicitly in its application. Trico also states in its application that it "may file a general rate case application in the near future [and] anticipates that it will propose rate design changes to further address the unrecovered fixed cost issue."⁷

The best place to address the issues raised by Trico in its filing as it relates to items 1 and 2 is in a general rate case. Trico is, in essence, asking for a new rate design for DG customers. The law in Arizona is well established about where rate design and ratemaking must occur.⁸ More importantly for this Commission, these types of issues should be discussed and resolved in

Id. at 7.

Id. at 6.

[&]quot;Trico does not have a mechanism in place to recover all of its lost fixed costs." Id at page 8 line 4.

Scates v. Arizona Corp Comm, 118 Ariz. 531, 578 P.2d 612(Ct.App 1978).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

rate cases because there are more options available for the Commission to discuss and utilize to resolve the concerns of the utility. By asking for a new rate design outside the confines of a rate case, Trico does not allow the Commission the benefit of information that would be gathered in a rate case.

Even if Trico were to request this new tariff in the context of a rate case, it would still need a waiver of the rules. It would seem that Trico's application is putting the cart ahead of the horse a bit in that it requests a new tariff and then requests a waiver of the rules for that tariff. ASDA believes it is in the public interest to have this matter heard in the context of a general rate case. Trico will not be harmed in any manner since it is anticipating filing a rate case "in the near future."

AVOIDED COST

ASDA is supportive of Staff's Memorandum and Proposed Order. The reset of the avoided costs is a regularly recurring issue and the Commission has acted on other utilities similar request in the same manner advanced by Staff. A hearing on this issue is not warranted.

CONCLUSION

ASDA respectfully requests that the Commission hear the Trico request to change the net metering tariff and any potential for a rule waiver in Trico's next general rate case. Additionally, ASDA would respectfully request the Commission approve Staff's Memorandum and Proposed Order regarding the reset of avoided cost amount.

Garry D. Hays

Alliance

Phoenix, Arizona 85016

The Law Offices of Garry D. Hays, PC 1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204

Attorney for the Arizona Solar Deployment

26

| 1 | One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004 |
|------------------------|--|
| 2 | Michael Curtis |
| 3 | 501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 |
| 4 | Court Rich |
| 5 | 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 |
| 6 | Robyn Interpreter |
| 7 | Susan B. Montogomery |
| 8 | Montgomery & Interpreter, PLC 4835 East Cactus Road, Suite 210 |
| 9 | Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 |
| 10 | Robert Hall |
| 11 | 4809 Pier Mountain Place Marana, Arizona 85658 |
| | |
| 28 12 sous | Vincent Nitido 8600 West Tangerine Road |
| Phoenix, Arizona 85016 | Marana, Arizona 85658 |
| ⁸ 14 | Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. |
| 15 | Paul O'Dair 1878 W. White Mtn. Blvd. |
| 16 | Lakeside, Arizona 85929 |
| 17 | Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. Peggy Gillman |
| 18 | P.O. Box 1045 |
| 19 | Bullhead City, Arizona 86430 |
| 20 | J. Tyler Carlson P.O. Box 1045 |
| 21 | Bullhead City, Arizona 86430 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | 1 No. 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1 |
| 24 | By MARIE Herget |